## PLANNING COMMITTEE - 18 January 2024

# Schedule of Communication Received after Printing of Agenda

Item	Correspondent	Date	Points Raised (Summary)	Officer's Response
6 Newark Castle, Castle Gate Newark on Trent 21/02690/FUL	Applicant	11.01.2024	Two amended plans submitted showing retention but reduced in height (rather than removal) of the 2 laurel trees within the Park and Garden:- Landscape Masterplan (Drawing No:- 2752-URB-NC-XX-00-DR-L-497150-P10) Planting Strategy (Drawing No:- 2752-URB-NC-XX-00-DR-L-497153-P01)	
6 Newark Castle, Castle Gate Newark on Trent 21/02690/FUL	Applicant	16.01.2024	Three amended plans submitted showing proposed relocated steel columns within the Gate House to over come the concern of the Conservation Officer	Officer consider these amendments to overcome
6 Newark Castle, Castle Gate Newark on Trent 21/02690/FUL	Officer	16.01.2024	Two plans listed within plans condition (020) relate to works outside the red line application site and so are not of relevance to the consideration of this application.	

## PLANNING COMMITTEE - 18 January 2024

# Schedule of Communication Received after Printing of Agenda

Item	Correspondent	Date	Points Raised (Summary)	Officer's Response
7 The Rhymes, Sutton on Trent 23/00584/FUL	Agent	09.01.2024	Email correspondence received:  Whilst we understand and are accepting of the reasoning for Condition 1 the time limit of 6 months isn't considered a reasonable amount of time to amend parts of the building which are already built and build the large proportion of the development which isn't constructed and the applicant would need to appoint a builder to undertake the work. Accordingly we suggest a time period of 18 months would be more reasonable.  The 6 month timescale is also included in the wording of Condition 2, we therefore request that this is also amended for the reasoning detailed above.	Accept that 6 months is a tight timeframe but consider that 12 months would be more reasonable and still allow sufficient time to appoint a builder.  Recommend condition 01 is amended to read:  The approved building shall be completed in accordance with the approved plans listed below, within 12 months of the date of this decision.  • 0001 P1 Site Location Plan received 31st March 2023  • 0005 P1 Proposed Block Plan received 31st March 2023  • 0004 REV P4 Proposed Plans and Elevations received 25th October 2023  Reason: To define this permission and to ensure the development is completed in accordance with the approved plans.  Recommend condition 02 is amended to read:  The development shall be carried out in accordance with the submitted flood risk assessment (ref TRST-BSP-XX-XX-T-W-0001-P04_Flood_Risk_Assessment, revision P04, dated 24th October 2023 and compiled by BSP Consulting)

## PLANNING COMMITTEE - 18 January 2024

# Schedule of Communication Received after Printing of Agenda

Item	Correspondent	Date	Points Raised (Summary)	Officer's Response
				<ul> <li>and the following mitigation measures it details:</li> <li>Finished floor levels shall be set no lower than 7.79 metres above Ordnance Datum (AOD) as detailed within Section 4.1.2 of the report.</li> <li>A water entry strategy shall be provided through the addition of a 6700mm x 175mm security mesh panels as the base of the two pairs of timber barn doors to allow the flow of water during a flood event. This is detailed within Section 4.1.3 and on the plan and elevation details within Appendix B of the report.</li> </ul>
				These mitigation measures shall be fully implemented prior to first use of the building or within 12 months of the date of this decision, whichever comes first. The measures detailed above shall be retained and maintained thereafter throughout the lifetime of the development.  Reason: To reduce the risk of flooding to the proposed development and future occupants and to prevent flooding elsewhere by ensuring that floodplain volume is not lost during a flood event.